INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & MANAGEMENT INVESTIGATIONS ON MICROSTRUCTURE AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF TIB2 REINFORCED ALUMINUM MATRIX COMPOSITES

Dr.G. Mallesh^{*1} and Mr.Manjunatha H.S²

^{*1}Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, S.J.C.E- Mysuru-06, India ²Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, A T M E College of Engineering, Mysuru-23, India.

ABSTRACT

Aluminum based metal matrix composites plays a vital role in the present day engineering applications because it acquired good mechanical properties such as light weight, high specific strength, low density easily machinable to get variety of surface finish. Hence AMC's are widely used in aircraft structures, space shuttles, military, shipbuilding and automotive applications.

In this paper attempts are made to developAl6061-TiB2 composite material for light weight applications using Insitu salt reaction stir casting method by varying the weight fraction of TiB2 (0%, 3%, 6%, 9% and 12%).

Mechanical characterization was carried out onAl6061-TiB2 as per ASTM Standard which includes SEM, XRD, EDAX analysis, micro hardness, and tensile strength tests. It was noticed that the developed composites exhibit superior structural properties when compared with base alloy.

Keywords: Al6061, TiB2, Weight fractions, Microstructure and Mechanical properties.

I. INTRODUCTION

Composite is a mixture of two or more distinct constituent or phase. Both constituent have to be present in reasonable property. Constituent phases have different properties, and hence the composite properties are noticeably different from the properties of the constituents. Metal matrix has the advantage over polymeric matrix in applications requiring a long-term resistance to severe environments, such as high temperature. The yield strength and modulus of most metals are higher than those for polymers, and this is an important consideration for applications requiring high transverse strength and modulus as well as compressive strength for the composite [1]. Metal Matrix Composites (MMCs) have emerged as an important class of materials and are useful for structural, thermal, wear transportation and electrical applications. Aluminum based particulate reinforced MMCs have emerged as an important class of high performance material for use in aerospace, automobile, chemical and transportation industries [2]. The production technology and use of composites have been improved significantly in recent decades. The physical and mechanical properties of metal-matrix composites (MMCs), such as high specific modulus and thermal stability, make them particularly attractive for application in the aerospace and automotive industries [3].Nowadays, with the increasing concerning about energy saving and demands of applying advanced structures in aerospace, military, automobile and electronic area, particle reinforcement aluminum matrix composites (PRAMCs) are more and more attractive for the material scientists, because of their low density, high specific stiffness, strong wear resistance, reduced thermal expansion coefficient and high thermal conductivity [4].Aluminum-based MMCs are excellent novel materials for applications in aerospace, automotive and transportation industries due to their high specific modulus and strength, superior wear fatigue and creep resistances. Metal matrix composites (MMCs) have become increasingly used for critical structural applications in industrial sectors because of their excellent stiffness to density and strength to density ratios [5]. Al based metal matrix composites (MMCs) reinforced with ceramic particles have received extensive attention due to their high specific strength-to-weight ratio, good wear resistance, excellent dimensional stability and superior damping capacity in comparison with the matrix alloy [6]. Al 6061 alloy is the most widely used 6xxx series aluminum alloy offering a range of good mechanical properties, good surface finish, excellent corrosion resistance and high workability. TiB₂ has emerged as an outstanding reinforcement among a wide variety of ceramics such as SiC, B₄C, Al₂O₃ TiC

 TiB_2 has emerged as an outstanding reinforcement among a wide variety of ceramics such as SiC, B_4C , Al_2O_3 TiC and graphite. This is due to the fact that TiB_2 is stiff hard and more importantly it does not react with aluminum to

ISSN 2277 - 5528 Impact Factor- 4.015

form any reaction product at the interface between the reinforcement and the matrix [7]. Al 6061 alloy is the most widely used 6xxx series aluminum alloy offering a range of good mechanical properties, good surface finish, excellent corrosion resistance and high workability. In recent past researchers have used wide variety of ceramic dispersoids such as SiC, B₄C, Si3 N4, Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ as reinforcement material in Al 6061 based MMCs. However compared to these dispersoids TiB₂ stands out as an outstanding reinforcement, owing to the fact that it possesses high hardness, high strength to density ratio and refractory nature to avoid formation of interfacial reaction products with aluminum. [8]. Al6061 is widely used in numerous engineering applications including transport and construction where superior mechanical properties such as tensile strength, hardness etc are essentially required [9]. Titanium diboride is a ceramic material with high strength, melting point 2790° C, hardness (960 HV), modulus (530 GPa) and corrosion resistance. The combination of its excellent properties has made TiB₂ increasingly important for a wide range of applications in erosive, abrasive, corrosive or high-temperature environments [10]. Compared with other ceramic particles such as SiC, TiC, Al₂O₃, SiO₂, titanium diboride (TiB₂) is a new ceramic material with relatively high strength, melting point, hardness and wear resistance, which is an attractive strengthening agent for aluminum-based composites. It is worthwhile to note that TiB₂ particle can be in-situ formed in the aluminum matrix by means of the chemical reaction processing method [11]. Among wide variety of reinforcements, TiB₂ has gained considerable importance because TiB₂ is stiff, hard and does not react with aluminum to form reaction products at the interface of reinforcement and matrix [12]. TiB₂ ceramic particle do not react with molten aluminum, thereby avoiding formation of brittle reaction products at the reinforcements-matrix interfaces. Also aluminum reinforced with TiB_2 is known for its high wear resistance property [13]. TiB_2 also has no interface reaction with aluminum. As a widely used in-situ process, the salt-metal reaction route is based on the aluminothermic reaction between two kinds of potassium fluoride salts and aluminum to form in-situ TiB₂ particles [14].

The AMCs reinforced with ceramic particles are currently fabricated using various established methods and some specific patented methods. The conventional methods are powder metallurgy, mechanical alloving, stir casting, squeeze casting, compo casting and spray deposition. The processing method influences the mechanical behavior of the AMCs [15]. The manufacturing techniques of the aluminum metal matrix composites are classified in to three types' namely (1) liquid state methods, (2) semisolid methods and (3) powder metallurgy methods. [3] In liquid state methods, the ceramic particulates are incorporated into a molten metallic matrix and casting of the resulting MMC is done [16]. Normally aluminum-based MMCs are manufactured by liquid state, semisolid and powder-metallurgy (P/M) methods [17]. However, the ex-situ method of preparation of particle-reinforced MMCs have certain technological challenges, e.g., non-uniform particle distribution [1], formation of undesirable interfacial reaction products, inherent casting defects and poor bonding at the matrix/reinforcement interface[18]. In situ method has been found to be one of the most important techniques in the fabrication of MMCs in the middle 1980s. One of the most important advantages of the MMCs produced by the In situ processes is the thermodynamic stability of the particulate phases [19]. The composites processed using the conventional methods suffer, in common, from matrix reinforcement interfacial thermodynamics instability, thus limiting their ambient and high temperature mechanical properties. In order to overcome this limitation and to ensure good matrix to reinforcement compatibility, micro structural homogeneity efforts have been made to synthesize and fabricate the MMC in a single stage from its raw materials. In-situ process represents one such category of technique used to synthesize MMC [20]. However, there still exist challenges in producing high quality metal matrix composites, the major issues are achieving excellent bond between matrix and reinforcement and reducing interfacial reaction between reinforced particles and matrix alloy. The above problems that are associated with metal matrix composites can be addressed by adopting in-situ method of fabricating metal matrix composites [21]. In situ processes involve the synthesis of composites such that desirable reinforcement, matrices and interfaces are formed during processing. The successful synthesis of in situ composites involves a good understanding of thermodynamics and reaction kinetics in order to obtain the desirable end product [22]. In situ composites reinforced with particulates have advantages over ex-situ composites in grain refinement, uniform distribution of reinforcements, excellent bond between the reinforcement and matrix, and economy of fabrication [23]. Recently, in situ fabrication techniques have been developed to produce metal matrix composites (MMC). Since the formation and growth of reinforcement take place within the matrix, in situ preparation of composites provides advantages including uniform distribution of finer particles, excellent bond at the interface, thermodynamically stable reinforcements that overwhelm the conventional ex situ processes, yielding better mechanical and tribological properties [24].

Among various Al matrix composites, the in-situ TiB2 particles reinforced Al alloy composite prepared using an exothermic reaction process with K2TiF6 and KBF4 salts has been the highlight of research interests in recent years, since the interfaces between TiB2 particles and Al matrix alloy are clear and well bonded, and reaction products are easy to remove [25]. Metal matrix composites with AA 2219 Al alloy as the matrix and different amounts of TiB2 particles (5wt % and 10wt %, respectively) as the reinforcement introduced in-situ by the salt metal reaction technique [26]. Earlier, Wood et al. had synthesized A356/TiB₂ composites via a salt route technique involving K_2TiF_6 and KBF₄ salts. [27]. Metals like aluminum that have been combined with a high percentage of other elements such as TiB2 are called a master alloy [28].

The present investigation focuses on fabrication of TiB_2 reinforced with aluminum matrix using in-situ method was carried out, the morphological study of the composites were investigated and the mechanical properties such as hardness, tensile strength and ductility were analyzed.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Al 6061 reinforced with TiB_2 by varying different wt fractions are used to prepare composite material to study the mechanical properties of the composites developed using In-situ technique.

In this technique, the reinforcement phase is formed in situation, by adding different salts to form required combination of composite system.

Table.1 Chemical Composition of Al 6061 alloy								
Elem	М	Fo	¢;	Cu	М	V	ті	A 1
ent	g	re	51	Cu	n	v	11	AI
Weig	1.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	Bala
ht %	08	17	63	32	52	01	02	nce

Al 6061 rods were placed in a coated graphite crucible and heated using an electrical furnace. The chemical composition of Al 6061 aluminum alloy is presented in Table 1. A coating was applied inside the crucible to avoid contamination. The temperature of the molten aluminum was maintained at 850° C. The measured quantities of premixed salts K₂TiF₆ and KBF₄ were added into the molten aluminum alloy and thoroughly stirred using a graphite rod at intervals of every 10 minutes. A total reaction time of 1 hr was allowed at 850° C and the melt was later poured into a cast iron metal mould. After solidification process castings were obtained as shown in figure 1. Machining of the composites was carried out as per ASTM standards to conduct morphological analysis and various mechanical tests.

Aluminum alloy Al6061 reinforced TiB_2 particulate composites were successfully synthesized by the in situ salt metal reaction of K_2TiF_6 and KBF_4 salts. During in-situ reaction process, the elements Ti and B are introduced from the two salts into the molten aluminumand made to react within it.

As the salts are mixed stoichiometrically to form TiB_2 , TiB_2 is the only intermetallic phase to be formed by the reaction which is given below

 $3K_2TiF_6 + 22Al + 6KBF_4 \rightarrow 3Al_3Ti + 3AlB_2 + 9KAlF_4 + K_3AlF_6 + Heat \\ 3Al_3Ti + 3AlB_2 \rightarrow 12Al + 3TiB_2$



Figure 1: Melt starring test apparatus for the production of composite



Figure 2: Aluminum Rods



Figure 3: Metal Mould



Figure 4: Molten metal in the Mould 99



Figure 5: Casted specimens

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Microstructure

The SEM micrographs of the fabricated AMCs are presented in Figure.6the micrographs shows no common casting defects such as porosity, shrinkages or slag inclusion which showcases the quality of castings. The in situ formed TiB_2 particles are distributed homogeneously in the aluminum matrix. Such kind of particulate distribution is an essential requirement to achieve better mechanical properties and solidification process dictates the uniform distribution of TiB_2 particles in the matrix.

It appears that a more uniform distribution of TiB_2 particles with less porosity can be achieved at 6% of TiB_2 . Beyond 6% weight fraction of TiB_2 leads to clustering of particles, which indicates the formation of hard brittle Al₃Ti intermetallic phase, thereby decreases the ductility. The in situ formed TiB_2 particles exhibit various shapes such as spherical, hexagonal and cubic. Some investigators observed the shape of TiB_2 particles to be hexagonal, while some other reported spherical and cubic structure [33].



Al6061+3% TiB₂



Al6061 + 12% TiB₂

Figure 6: Microstructure of Al 6061 with different weight fractions of TiB₂ In-Situ composites.

X-ray Diffraction Analysis

X-ray diffraction pattern of the composite obtained are presented in Figure.7. It is evident from the figure that the composite is consisted of aluminum and TiB2.



Micro hardness

The results of the micro hardness test of the base metal and the composites are presented and observed that the micro hardness of the base alloy increased with addition of TiB_2 particulate.

A significant increase in hardness of the alloy matrix can be seen with addition of TiB_2 particles. Higher value of hardness is clear indication of the fact that the presences of particulates in the matrix have improved the overall hardness of the composites. This is true due to the fact that aluminum is a soft material and the reinforced particle especially ceramics material being hard, contributes positively to the hardness of the composites.



Figure 8: VHN for different weight fractions of TiB₂

Tensile Strength

The tensile strength and % ductility of Al 6061 and Al-TiB₂ composites are presented and the results of tensile tests confirm that addition of ceramic reinforcement to the aluminum matrix alloy increases tensile strength. As could be seen from the results of the tensile test, the tensile strength of the composite registered an increase with addition of TiB₂ particulates from 3 to 12 %. This is due to the strengthening of Aluminum alloy matrix by the particulates. It is also seen that % ductility of the composites got reduced with addition of particulates



Figure 9: Tensile strength for different weight fractions of TiB_2



Figure 10: Percentage Elongation for different weight fractions of TiB₂

However, the composite materials exhibited lower elongation than that of unreinforced specimens. It is obvious that plastic deformation of the mixed soft metal matrix and the non-deformable reinforcement is more difficult than the base metal itself. As a result, the ductility of the composite drops down when compared to that of unreinforced material.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The mechanical characteristics of Aluminum 6061 alloy composite reinforced with TiB_2 particles was successfully synthesized by In-situ reaction using halide salts. The study reveals that SEM micrograph and XRD patterns clearly indicate the presence of TiB_2 particles with a favorable good bond between the matrix and the reinforcement. But beyond 6 % Agglomerations of the TiB2 reinforcements of varying size and shape were observed. From the result, it is concluded that increase in the amount of TiB_2 will certainly increase the Micro hardness and ultimate tensile strength of the composite was considerably higher than matrix alloy. However, composites exhibited lower ductility when compared with matrix alloy.

REFERENCES

1. S.Sasikumar,K.R.Ramkumar,S.Iniyan,M.Gowthaman, Dr.S.Sivasankaran. "Study of mechanical and machining behavior of AA 7075-3%TiB2 in-situ composite." Volume 3, Special Issue 3, (2014): 1229-12

- Suresh, S., N. Shenbaga Vinayaga Moorthi, S. C. Vettivel, and N. Selvakumar. "Mechanical behavior and wear prediction of stir cast Al–TiB 2 composites using response surface methodology." Materials & Design 59 (2014): 383-396.
- 3. Oñoro, J. "High-temperature mechanical properties of aluminum alloys reinforced with titanium diboride (TiB2) particles." Rare Metals 30, no. 2 (2011): 200-205.
- 4. Zhong, Long-hua, Yu-tao Zhao, Song-li Zhang, C. H. E. N. Gang, C. H. E. N. Shuai, and Yong-hong Liu. "Microstructure and mechanical properties of in situ TiB 2/7055 composites synthesized by direct magneto chemistry melt reaction."Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China 23, no. 9 (2013): 2502-2508.
- 5. Tjong, S. C., and K. F.Tam. "Mechanical and thermal expansion behavior of hipped aluminum–TiB₂ composites." Materials Chemistry and physics 97, no. 1 (2006): 91-97.
- 6. Chen, Fei, Zongning Chen, Feng Mao, Tongmin Wang, and Zhiqiang Cao. "TiB² reinforced aluminum based in situ composites fabricated by stir casting. "Materials Science and Engineering: A 625 (2015): 357-368.
- 7. Ramesh, C.S., AbrarAhamed, B.H. Channabasappa, and R. Keshavamurthy. "Development of Al 6063–TiB₂ in-situ composites." Materials & Design 31, no. 4 (2010): 2230-223.
- 8. Ramesh, C.S., S.Pramod, and R.Keshavamurthy."A study on microstructure and mechanical properties of Al 6061–TiB 2 in-situ composites." Materials Science and Engineering: A 528, no. 12 (2011): 4125-4132.
- 9. Selvaganesan M, Suresh S. "Production and Characterization of Al 6061- TiB₂ Metal Matrix." IJERT Vol. 2 Issue 11 (2013): 3154-3159
- 10. Akbari, M. Karbalaei, H. R. Baharvandi, and K. Shirvanimoghaddam. "Tensile and fracture behavior of nano/micro TiB 2 particle reinforced casting A356 aluminum alloy composites." Materials & Design 66 (2015): 150-161.
- 11. Han, Gang, Weizheng Zhang, Guohua Zhang, Zengjian Feng, and Yanjun Wang. "High-temperature mechanical properties and fracture mechanisms of Al–Si piston alloy reinforced with in situ TiB 2 particles." Materials Science and Engineering: A 633 (2015): 161-168.
- 12. Mondal, D.P., J.H.A.Nidhi, Anshul Badkul, and S. Das. "Effect of Al–TiB master alloy addition on microstructure, wear and compressive deformation behavior of aluminum alloys." Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China 22, no. 5 (2012): 1001-1011.
- 13. James, S. Johny, K. Venkatesan, P. Kuppan, and R. Ramanujam. "Hybrid Aluminium Metal Matrix Composite Reinforced with SiC and TiB 2." Procedia Engineering 97 (2014): 1018-1026.
- 14. Gao, Qi, Shusen Wu, Shulin Lü, Xinchen Xiong, Rui Du, and Ping An. "Improvement of particles distribution of in-situ 5 vol% TiB2 particulates reinforced Al-4.5 Cu alloy matrix composites with ultrasonic vibration treatment." Journal of Alloys and Compounds 692 (2017): 1-9.
- 15. Rajan, HB Michael, S. Ramabalan, I. Dinaharan, and S. J. Vijay. "Synthesis and characterization of in situ formed titanium diboride particulate reinforced AA7075 aluminum alloy cast composites." Materials & Design 44 (2013): 438-445.
- 16. Suresh, S., and N. Shenbaga Vinayaga Moorthi. "Process development in stir casting and investigation on microstructures and wear behavior of TiB 2 on Al6061 MMC." Procedia Engineering 64 (2013): 1183-1190.
- 17. Partheeban, CM Anand, M. Rajendran, S. C. Vettivel, S. Suresh, and N. S. V. Moorthi. "Mechanical behavior and failure analysis using online acoustic emission on nano-graphite reinforced Al6061–10TiB 2 hybrid composite using powder metallurgy." Materials Science and Engineering: A 632 (2015): 1-13.
- S.Madhavan, S. Balasivanandha Prabu, K.A. Padmanabhan. "On the role of process parameters of aluminothermic reaction synthesis of in-situ Al-TiB2 composites: microstructure and mechanical properties." Letters on Materials 4, (2014): 84-88
- Emamy, M., M. Mahta, and J. Rasizadeh. "Formation of TiB₂ particles during dissolution of TiAl₃ in Al–TiB₂ metal matrix composite using an in situ technique." Composites science and Technology 66, no. 7 (2006): 1063-1066.
- Niranjan, K., and P. R. Lakshminarayanan. "Optimization of process parameters for in situ casting of Al/TiB₂ composites through response surface methodology." Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China 23, no. 5 (2013): 1269-1274.
- R.Keshavamurthy, Sadananda Mageri, Ganesh Raj, B.Naveenkumar, Prashant M Kadakol and K.Vasu. "Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Al7075-TiB2 in-situ composite." Res. J. Material Sci, no.1 (2013): 6-10.

- 22. C.Mallikarjuna and Dr.S.M.Shashidhara. "The Precipitation Of TiB2 In Aluminum Alloy Melts From The Exothermic Reaction Of K₂TiF₆ And KBF₄ Halide Salts And Evaluation Of Its Mechanical Properties." WCECS (2007).
- 23. Fei Xie, Zhong-hua Xue. "Characterizing an in situ TiB2 particulates reinforced aluminum based composite and its heat treatment." Physics Procedia 50 (2013):13 –18.
- 24. Fei, C. H. E. N., Tong-min Wang, Zong-ning Chen, M. A. O. Feng, H. A. N. Qiang, and Zhi-qiang Cao. "Microstructure, mechanical properties and wear behavior of Zn–Al–Cu–TiB 2 in situ composites." Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China 25, no. 1 (2015): 103-111.
- 25. Jing, X. U. E., W. A. N. G. Jun, Yan-feng HAN, C. H. E. N. Chong, and Bao-de SUN. "Behavior of CeO₂ additive in in-situ TiB₂ particles reinforced 2014 Al alloy composite." Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China 22, no. 5 (2012): 1012-1017
- 26. N.R. Rajasekaran, V. Sampath. "Effect of In-Situ TiB2 Particle Addition on the Mechanical Properties of AA 2219 Al Alloy Composite." Journal of Minerals & Materials Characterization & Engineering, Vol. 10, No.6 (2011): 527-534
- Mandal Mallikarjuna and Dr.S.M.Shashidhara. "The Precipitation Of TiB2 In Aluminum Alloy Melts From The Exothermic Reaction Of K2TiF6 And KBF4 Halide Salts, A., M. Chakraborty, and B. S. Murty. "Ageing behavior of A356 alloy reinforced with in-situ formed TiB 2 particles." Materials Science and Engineering: A 489, no. 1 (2008): 220-226.
- 28. Changizi, Ahmad, Ali Kalkanli, and Naci Sevinc. "Production of in situ aluminum–titanium diboride master alloy formed by slag–metal reaction." Journal of Alloys and Compounds 509, no. 2 (2011): 237-240.